

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/776,685	02/10/2004	Mark C. Peterman	S02-295	3817
30869	7590 06/14/2006		EXAMINER	
LUMEN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SERVICES, INC.			DUDA, KATHLEEN	
	2345 YALE STREET, 2ND FLOOR PALO ALTO, CA 94306		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1756	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/776,685	PETERMAN, MARK C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kathleen Duda	1756				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 M</u>	lay 2006.					
<u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
F	٠, ٢, ٥, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١, ١,					

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as

being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The independent claim has been amended to remove "target" from the preamble. The recitations of "said target" in the remainder of that claim and the dependent claims no longer has antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1756

5. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 8, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Nakao ("Focus Monitoring Utilizing an Aperture in Cr Film on Backside of Photo Mask").

Nakao teaches a process of exposing using a mask with patterns on both sides of the mask substrate (see figures 1 and 2 – meets limitations of claim 1). Page 300, section 2, teaches that the mask features are formed of chromium (meets limitations of claims 3, 4, 7 and 9). Figure 2 depicts side two of the mask is closest to the wafer (meets limitations of claim 20).

Applicant argues that Nakao teaches a monitoring process and that a predetermined pattern is transferred to the target. Figure 2 depicts a wafer and the last line of the patent indicates that it can be used for imaging. The pattern must be predetermined because the mask pattern was chosen, the patterns are not arbitrary.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1756

7. Claims 2, 5, 6 and 9-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakao or Morales in view of Lin (US Patent 6,664,011).

The teachings of Nakao and Morales have been discussed above.

Nakao and Morales do not teach transparent materials or the various pattern arrangements. These are taught by Lin.

Lin teaches phase-shifting masks which are used in submicron lithographic processes. Column 1, line 43, teaches the critical dimensions are in the 0.1 to 0.2 micron range. Claim 1 recites using masks with both opaque and transparent pattern to form the desired submicron pattern.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used transparent or opaque patterns to form submicron features because Lin teaches the conventionality of both patterns producing submicron features. The various propagation patterns recited in claims 13-16 are various patterns known in the art.

Applicant argues that the propagation pattern does not have the features of claims 13-26. These are design choices which one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to determine depending on the desired pattern.

Art Unit: 1756

Response to Amendment

8. Applicant's arguments have been persuasive in removing the rejection of claims over Morales due to the teaching of x-ray radiation rather than the optical radiation recited in the claims of the current application.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1756

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner K. Duda at (571) 272-1383. Official FAX communications should be sent to (571) 273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff, can be reached at 571-272-1385.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kathleen Duda Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756